1 2 3 4	ALLEN LICHTENSTEIN, NV BAR 03992 MARGARET A. MCLETCHIE, NV BAR 10931 LEE B. ROWLAND, NV BAR 10209 JUDY C. COX, NV BAR 11093 AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION OF NEVADA 732 S. 6 <sup>th</sup> St. Suite 200A Las Vegas, NV 89101 Telephone 702-366-1536		
5	Facsimile 702-366-1331		
6			
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
8	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
9	Suzette Banasik, and William Jablonski,	Case No. 2:09-cv-1242	
10	Plaintiffs,		
11	V.	INTERIM STIPULATED	
12	Clark County, Nevada; David Roger (in his official capacity as District Attorney for Clark County); Las	MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING	
13	Vegas Metropolitan Police Department; Sheriff Douglas Gillespie (individually and in his official capacity as		
14	Sheriff of the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department); Captain Charles Hank (individually and in		
15	his official capacity as Bureau Commander for the		
16 17	Convention Center Area Command); Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Officers Contreras		
18	(Badge 9316), Goris (Badge 7520), Flannigan (Badge 3510), Anderson (Badge 6196); and (in their individual		
19	capacities); and Catherine Cortez Masto (in her official capacity as Attorney General of Nevada).		
20	Defendants.		
21		•	
22	Interim Stipulated Memorandum of Understanding		
23	The above named parties, by and through their attorneys of record, having met on		
24	September 22, 2009 to discuss an interim stipulated agreement and the possibility of settlement,		
25	as required by Rule 26 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, have come to the following		
26	agreement.		
27 28	I. Without admitting or denying any claims stated in	Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint and	

without admitting fault or waiving any claims, counterclaims, defenses, or arguments, the parties agree that:

- 1. The sidewalks and pedestrian bridges along Las Vegas Boulevard ("the Strip") constitute a traditional public forum for First Amendment purposes. See *Venetian Casino Resort*, *L.L.C. v. Local Joint Executive Bd. of Las Vegas*, 257 F.3d 937, 943 (9th Cir. 2001).
- 2. A "street performer" is a member of the general public who engages in any performing art or the playing of any musical instrument, singing or vocalizing, with or without musical accompaniment, and whose performance is not an official part of a sponsored event. See e.g., Berger v. City of Seattle et. al., 569 F.3d 1029, 1036 (9th Cir. 2009). "Street performing" is the act of engaging in performing art or the playing of any musical instrument, singing or vocalizing, with or without musical accompaniment.
- 3. The Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals has determined that street performing is expressive speech or expressive conduct protected under the First Amendment. *See Berger v. City of Seattle et. al.*, 569 F.3d 1029 (9th Cir. 2009).
- 4. Street performing, including the acceptance of unsolicited tips and the non-coercive solicitation of tips, is not a *per se* violation of any of the codes or statutes being challenged in this action.
- 5. Street performers who are legitimately in violation of a county code, state statute, or other law of general applicability are not immune from prosecution simply because they are street performers.
- 6. The entirety of Chapter 6 of the Clark County Code, the business licensing codes, as written, is inapplicable to the act of street performing.
- 7. Counsel for Defendant Clark County will propose amendments to the challenged County codes as detailed below for consideration by the County Commission.

8. By stipulating to this Memorandum of Understanding both parties have avoided actual argument on the probability of success or failure of the merits of Plaintiffs' claims and Defendants' defenses, thereby conserving judicial time and effort.

## **II.** Based on the forgoing, the parties hereby stipulate that:

- During the pendency of litigation in this action, counsel for Clark County and counsel for the ACLU of Nevada will pursue possible amendments, revisions, or notations to the following Clark County Codes:
  - a. Clark County Code 11.04.020 (public nuisance/obscenity).
  - b. Clark County Code 12.32.020(d) (begging/soliciting alms).
  - c. Clark County Code 12.33.010(c) (disorderly conduct).
  - d. Clark County Code 16.11.070 (storing material on a public sidewalk).
- 2. During the pendency of litigation in this action, Counsel for Clark County and DA David Roger agree to forebear prosecution against people for engaging in street performing..
- 3. During the pendency of litigation in this action, counsel for the Attorney General's Office agrees that the activity of street performing is not a per se violation of N.R.S. 202.450(3) and that the state will forebear enforcement of N.R.S. 202.450(3) against street performers.
- 4. During the pendency of litigation in this action, given that the parties are negotiating possible amendments to the provisions, the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, including the Sheriff and all officers, agrees that it shall not issue a citation to, or effect an arrest of, a person solely based upon the person(s) being a "street performer" or engaged in "street performing"(as defined in Sec. I, ¶ 2 of this Agreement) or to otherwise criminalize "street performing," including issuing citations and/or arresting persons for the following codes and/or statutes solely for engaging in street performing

and/or being street performers:

- a. Clark County Code 11.04.020 (public nuisance/obscenities).
- b. Clark County Code 12.32.020(d) (begging/soliciting alms).
- c. Clark County Code 12.33.010(c) (disorderly conduct).
- d. Clark County Code 16.11.020 (obstructing a public sidewalk).
- e. Clark County Code 16.11.070 (storing materials on a public sidewalk).
- f. Nevada Revised Statute 202.450(3) (public nuisance).
- g. Clark County Code Chapter 6 (business licenses).
- 5. All parties understand that street performers who are legitimately in violation of a County code, State statute, or other law of general applicability for criminal conduct are not immune from prosecution simply because they are street performers and paragraph 4 surpa does not limit LVMPD or any of its officers from properly enforcing Codes, statutes and/or governing laws for people who actually violate said laws, by actions other than simply being a street performer. However, the act of street performing in and of itself does not constitute a violation of any code or statute. Thus, for example, street performers cannot be required to obtain a business license to perform in public spaces, even if people give them unsolicited tips. Furthermore, temporarily setting an item next to the street performer, while s/he is performing, is not a per se violation of Clark County Code 16.11.070 (storing materials on a public sidewalk). However, a street performer who causes an actual obstruction of the sidewalk, as defined in Clark County Code 16.11.020(e)(1), may be cited, detained and/or arrested for obstructing.
- 6. In the event that any party believes that another party has failed to comply with the terms of this Stipulation, the complaining party shall inform the other party in writing of the specific grounds upon which non-compliance is alleged. Such written notification shall

include a statement of fact sufficient to identify the unintended result or ambiguous interpretation. Upon receipt of said notice, the parties shall have 10 days to make a good faith effort to meet, either in person or via telephone, to negotiate a resolution to the problem. If the parties cannot reach an agreement within 7 days of the aforementioned meeting, the issue may then be submitted to the Court.

- 7. This Interim Memorandum, along with any exhibits, appendices, addendums, and amendments hereto, encompasses the entire agreement of the parties as it relates to the Interim Memorandum, and supersedes all previous understandings and agreements between the parties, whether oral or written. The parties hereby acknowledge and represent, that said parties have not relied on any representation, assertion, guarantee, warranty, collateral contract or other assurance, except those set out in this Interim Memorandum, made by or on behalf of any other party or any other person or entity whatsoever, prior to the execution of this Interim Memorandum. This Interim Memorandum may only be amended by a written document duly executed by all parties.
- 8. It is the intention of the parties that, pursuant to the amendments of the above-referenced Clark County Codes, in a form agreeable to all parties, that the parties shall enter into a permanent agreement resolving all causes of action set forth in Plaintiffs' Complaint with each party to bear its own attorney fees and costs.
- 9. This Memorandum shall remain in effect during the time the proposed amendments to the aforementioned Codes are being drafted, discussed, and revised, presented to the County Commission, and until such time as the County Commission approves the proposed revisions to the Code(s). In the event the Code(s) are not approved by the County Commission within 120 days, and the parties continue, in good faith, to draft and discuss revisions to the Code(s) this Memorandum will automatically renew for a period of 60

1	days or until such time as the parties reach a final agreement or, alternatively, terminate		
2	efforts to present revised Code(s) to the County Commission.		
3	Dated this 27th day of January, 2010		
4			
5	/s/ Allen Lichtenstein	/s/	
3	Nevada Bar No. 3992	Robert Gower	
6	Margaret A. McLetchie	Nevada Bar No. 1868	
7	Nevada Bar No. 10931	500 S.Grand Central Parkway	
,	Lee B. Rowland	Las Vegas, NV 89106	
8	Nevada Bar No. 10209	Telephone (702) 455-4761	
	Judy Carol Cox	Fax (702)382-5178	
9	Nevada Bar No. 11093	Robert.Gower@ccdanv.com	
10	ACLU OF NEVADA 732 South Sixth Street, Ste 200A	Attorney for Clark County, Nevada and District Attorney David Roger.	
	Las Vegas, NV 89101	ana District Attorney Davia Roger.	
11	Telephone (702) 366-1902		
12	Fax (702) 366- 1331		
	Attorneys for Plaintiffs		
13		CATHERINE CORTEZ MASTO	
14		Attorney General	
17	/s/	C. WAYNE HOWLE	
15	Nick D. Crosby Nevada Bar No. 8996	Solicitor General Nevada Bar No. 3443	
16	10001 Park Run Drive	100 N. Carson Street	
16	Las Vegas, NV 89145	Carson City, Nevada 89701	
17	Telephone (702) 382-0711	Telephone 775-684-1227	
40	Fax (702) 382-5816	Facsimile 775-684-1108	
18	ncrosby@marquisaurbach.com	Attorneys for Catherine Cortez Masto	
19	Attorneys for LVMPD defendants		
20	IT IS SO ORDERED:		
21			
22			
22	March 1		
23	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	-	
24	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE		
24	·		
25	0 2 1 00/0 2		
26	Dated: D & W DO D		
27			
28			
_•			